

# Mathematical modelling

Lecture 14, May 17th, 2022

Faculty of Computer and Information Science  
University of Ljubljana

2021/22

## Test for linear independence of solutions

Let  $x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)$  be the solutions of the homogeneous part of (??) and form a matrix

$$W(x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)) := \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) & \dots & x_n(t) \\ \dot{x}_1(t) & \dots & \dot{x}_n(t) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_1^{(n-1)}(t) & \dots & x_n^{(n-1)}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

We call the determinant

$$\phi(t) = \det W(x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

the Wronskian determinant of  $W(x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t))$ , where  $I$  is the interval on which  $t$  lives.

### Theorem (Existence and uniqueness of solutions)

*If  $x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t)$  are solutions of a LDE with continuous coefficient functions  $a_1(t), \dots, a_n(t)$ , then their Wronskian is either identically equal to 0 or nonzero at every point. In other words, if  $W(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  has a zero at some point  $t_0$ , then it is identically equal to 0.*

## Proof of theorem

Let  $\pi_n$  be the set of all permutations of the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Now we differentiate  $\phi(t)$  and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\phi'(t) &= \left( \sum_{\sigma \in \pi_n} x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)}^{(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}^{(n-1)} \right)'(t) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \pi_n} \left( (x_{\sigma(1)})'(t) x_{\sigma(2)}^{(1)}(t) \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}^{(n-1)}(t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + x_{\sigma(1)}(t) (x_{\sigma(2)}^{(1)})'(t) \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}^{(n-1)}(t) + \cdots \right. \\ &\quad \left. + x_{\sigma(1)}(t) x_{\sigma(2)}^{(1)}(t) \cdots (x_{\sigma(n)}^{(n-1)})'(t) \right) \\ &= \left( \sum_{\sigma \in \pi_n} x_{\sigma(1)}^{(1)}(t) x_{\sigma(2)}^{(1)}(t) \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}^{(n-1)}(t) \right) + \\ &\quad \left( \sum_{\sigma \in \pi_n} x_{\sigma(1)}(t) x_{\sigma(2)}^{(2)}(t) x_{\sigma(3)}^{(2)}(t) \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}^{(n-1)}(t) \right) + \\ &\quad \cdots + \left( \sum_{\sigma \in \pi_n} x_{\sigma(1)}(t) x_{\sigma(2)}^{(1)}(t) \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}^{(n-2)}(t) x_{\sigma(n)}^{(n)}(t) \right).\end{aligned}$$

Now notice that the first  $n - 1$  summand are the determinants of the matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) & \dots & x_n(t) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_1^{(i)}(t) & \dots & x_n^{(i)}(t) \\ x_1^{(i)}(t) & \dots & x_n^{(i)}(t) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_1^{(n-1)}(t) & \dots & x_n^{(n-1)}(t) \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

and hence are equal to 0.

For the last summand use the initial DE (??) to express

$$x_{\sigma(n)}^{(n)} := -a_{n-1}(t)x_{\sigma(n)}^{(n-1)} - \dots - a_0(t)x_{\sigma(n)}.$$

The summands of the form  $-a_i(t)x_{\sigma(n)}^{(i)}$  for  $i < n - 1$  give 0 terms in the sum  $\sum_{\sigma \in \pi_n}$  since the sum is just the  $-a_i(t)$  multiple of the determinant of the form (1), while the term  $-a_{n-1}(t)x_{\sigma(n)}^{(n-1)}$  gives

$$-a_{n-1}(t)\phi(t).$$

It follows that  $\phi(t)$  satisfies the DE

$$\phi'(t) = -a_{n-1}(t)\phi(t).$$

The theorem follows by noticing that the solution of this DE is

$$\phi(t) = ke^{-\int a_{n-1}(t)dt}, \quad \text{where } k \in \mathbb{R}.$$

## Second order homogeneous LDE with constant coefficients

We are given a DE

$$a\ddot{x} + b\dot{x} + cx = 0,$$

where  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$  are real numbers. We know from the theory above that the general solution is

$$x(t, C_1, C_2) = C_1x_1(t) + C_2x_2(t),$$

where  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  are parameters and

1.  $x_1(t) = e^{\lambda_1 t}$  and  $x_2(t) = e^{\lambda_2 t}$  if the characteristic polynomial has two distinct real roots,
2.  $x_1(t) = e^{\alpha t} \cos \beta t$  and  $x_2(t) = e^{\alpha t} \sin \beta t$  if the characteristic polynomial has a complex pair  $\lambda_{12} = \alpha \pm i\beta$  of roots, and
3.  $x_1(t) = e^{\lambda t}$ ,  $x_2(t) = te^{\lambda t}$  if the characteristic polynomial has one double real root.

## Nonhomogeneous LDEs

We are given the nonhomogeneous LDE

$$x^{(n)} + a_{n-1}(t)x^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0(t)x = f(t),$$

where  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a nonzero function on the interval  $I$ . The following holds:

- ▶ If  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are solutions of the nonhomogeneous equation, the difference  $x_1 - x_2$  is a solution of the corresponding homogeneous equation.
- ▶ The general solution is a sum

$$x(t, C_1, C_2) = x_p + x_h = x_p + C_1x_1 + \cdots + C_nx_n,$$

where  $x_p$  is a particular solution of the nonhomogeneous equation and  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are linearly independent solutions of the homogeneous equation.

- ▶ The particular solution can be obtained using the method of “intelligent guessing” or the method of [variation of constants](#).

The method of “intelligent guessing” typically works if the function  $f(t)$  belongs to a class of functions that is closed under derivations, like polynomials, exponential functions and sums of these.

Example ( $\ddot{x} + \dot{x} + x = t^2$ )

We are guessing that the particular solution will be of the form

$$x_p(t) = At^2 + Bt + C.$$

We have that

$$\dot{x}_p(t) = 2At + B, \quad \ddot{x}_p(t) = 2A,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x} + \dot{x} + x &= 2A + (2At + B) + (At^2 + Bt + C) \\ &= At^2 + (2A + B)t + (2A + B + C) \end{aligned}$$

The initial DE gives us a linear system in  $A, B, C$  :

$$A = 1, \quad 2A + B = 0, \quad 2A + B + C = 0$$

with the solution  $A = 1, B = -2, C = 0$ . Hence,  $x_p(t) = t^2 - 2t$ .

### Example ( $\ddot{x} - 3\dot{x} + 2x = e^{3t}$ )

We are guessing that the particular solution will be of the form

$$x_p(t) = Ae^{3t}.$$

We have that

$$\dot{x}_p(t) = 3Ae^{3t}, \quad \ddot{x}_p(t) = 9Ae^{3t},$$

and so

$$\ddot{x} - 3\dot{x} + 2x = 9Ae^{3t} - 3(3Ae^{3t}) + 2Ae^{3t} = 2Ae^{3t}$$

The initial DE gives us an equation  $2A = 1$  and hence,  $x_p(t) = \frac{1}{2}e^{3t}$ .

### Example ( $\ddot{x} - x = e^t$ )

The particular solution will not be of the form  $x_p(t) = Ae^t$ , since this is a solution of the homogeneous equation, we are guessing that the correct form in this case is

$$x_p(t) = Ate^t.$$

We have that

$$\dot{x}_p(t) = A(e^t + te^t), \quad \ddot{x}_p(t) = A(2e^t + te^t),$$

and so

$$\ddot{x} - x = A(2e^t + te^t) - Ate^t = 2Ae^t.$$

The initial DE gives us an equation  $2A = 1$  and hence,  $x_p(t) = \frac{1}{2}te^t$ .

### Example ( $\ddot{x} + x = \frac{1}{\cos t}$ )

Let us first solve the homogeneous part  $\ddot{x} + x = 0$ . The characteristic polynomial is  $p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + 1$  with zeroes

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \pm i = \cos t \pm i \sin t.$$

Hence, real solutions of the DE are

$$x_1(t) = \cos t \quad \text{and} \quad x_2(t) = \sin t. \quad (2)$$

So the general solution to the homogeneous part is

$$x(t) = C_1 x_1(t) + C_2 x_2(t), \quad \text{where } C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{R} \text{ are constants.}$$

Now we are searching for the particular solution  $x_p(t)$  of the form

$$x_p(t) = C_1(t)x_1(t) + C_2(t)x_2(t).$$

Thus,

$$\dot{x}_p(t) = \dot{C}_1(t)x_1(t) + C_1(t)\dot{x}_1(t) + \dot{C}_2(t)x_2(t) + C_2(t)\dot{x}_2(t). \quad (3)$$

We force an equation

$$\dot{C}_1(t)x_1(t) + \dot{C}_2(t)x_2(t) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Differentiating (3) further under the assumption (4) we get

$$\ddot{x}_p(t) = (\dot{C}_1(t)\dot{x}_1(t) + C_1(t)\ddot{x}_1(t)) + (\dot{C}_2(t)\dot{x}_2(t) + C_2(t)\ddot{x}_2(t)). \quad (5)$$

Plugging this into the initial DE and using that  $x_1, x_2$  are solutions of  $\ddot{x} + x = 0$

$$\dot{C}_1(t)\dot{x}_1(t) + \dot{C}_2(t)\dot{x}_2(t) = \frac{1}{\cos t}. \quad (6)$$

Expressing  $\dot{C}_2(t)$  from (4) and plugging into (6) we get

$$\dot{C}_1(t)\dot{x}_1(t) - \frac{\dot{C}_1(t)x_1(t)}{x_2(t)}\dot{x}_2(t) = \dot{C}_1(t)\frac{\dot{x}_1(t)x_2(t) - x_1(t)\dot{x}_2(t)}{x_2(t)} = \frac{1}{\cos t}. \quad (7)$$

Using (2) in (7) we get

$$\dot{C}_1(t) = -\frac{\sin t}{\cos t}. \quad (8)$$

Hence,

$$C_1(t) = -\int \frac{\sin t}{\cos t} dt = -\int \frac{1}{u} du = -\log |u| = -\log |\cos t|,$$

where we used the substitution  $u = \cos t$ .

Using (8) in (4) we get

$$\dot{C}_2(t) = 1. \quad (9)$$

Hence,

$$C_2(t) = t.$$

So,

$$x_p(t) = -\log |\cos t| \cdot \cos t + t \sin t.$$

The complete solution to DE is

$$x(t) = C_1 \cos t + C_2 \sin t - \log |\cos t| \cdot \cos t + t \sin t,$$

where  $C_1, C_2$  are parameters.

## Vibrating systems

There are many vibrating systems in many different domains. The mathematical model is always the same, though. We will have in mind a vibrating mass attached to a spring.

### Case 1: Free vibrations without damping

Let  $x(t)$  denote the displacement of the mass from the equilibrium position.

- ▶ According to **Newton's second law of motion**

$$m\ddot{x} = \sum F_i,$$

where  $F_i$  are forces acting on the mass.

- ▶ By **Hooke's law**, the only force acting on the mass pulls towards the equilibrium, its size is proportional to the displacement and the direction is opposite

$$F = -kx(t), \quad k > 0.$$

- ▶ So the DE in this case is

$$\boxed{m\ddot{x} + kx = 0}.$$

- ▶ The characteristic equation

$$m\lambda^2 + k = 0$$

has complex solutions  $\lambda = \pm\omega i$ ,  $\omega^2 = k/m$ .

- ▶ The general solution is

$$x(t) = C_1 \cos\omega t + C_2 \sin\omega t.$$

- ▶ So the solutions  $x(t)$  are periodic. The equilibrium point  $(0, 0)$  in the phase plane  $(x, v)$  is a center.

## Case 2: Free vibrations with damping

We assume a linear damping force

$$F_d = -\beta\dot{x},$$

so the DE is

$$\boxed{m\ddot{x} + \beta\dot{x} + kx = 0}, \quad \text{where } m, \beta, k > 0.$$

Depending on the solutions of the characteristic equation there are three cases:

- ▶ Overdamping when  $D = \beta^2 - 4km > 0$  and  $x(t) = C_1e^{\lambda_1 t} + C_2e^{\lambda_2 t}$ ,  $\lambda_{1,2} < 0$ . The mass slides towards the equilibrium. The point  $(0, 0)$  in the  $(x, v)$  plane is a sink.
- ▶ Critical damping when  $D = 0$  and  $x(t) = C_1e^{\lambda t} + C_2te^{\lambda t}$ ,  $\lambda < 0$ . The point mass slides towards the equilibrium after, possibly, one swing. The point  $(0, 0)$  in the  $(x, v)$  plane is a sink,
- ▶ Damped vibration when  $D < 0$  and  $x(t) = e^{\alpha t}(C_1 \cos \beta t + C_2 \sin \beta t)$ . The mass oscillates around the equilibrium with decreasing amplitudes. The point  $(0, 0)$  is a spiral sink.

### Case 3: Forced vibration without damping

In addition to internal forces of the system there is an additional external force  $f(t)$  acting on the system, so

$$m\ddot{x} + kx = f(t).$$

The general solution is of the form

$$x(t, C_1, C_2) = C_1 \cos(\omega t) + C_2 \sin(\omega t) + x_p(t),$$

where  $x_p$  is a particular solution of the nonhomogeneous equations.

#### Example

Let  $f(t) = a \sin \mu t$ .

Using the method of intelligent guessing,

- ▶ if  $\mu \neq \omega$ , then  $x_p(t) = A \sin \mu t + B \cos \mu t$
- ▶ if  $\mu = \omega$ , then  $x_p = t(A \sin \omega t + B \cos \omega t)$ , so the solutions of the equation are unbounded and increase towards  $\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  – the well known phenomenon of resonance occurs.

Case 4: Forced vibration with damping:

$$m\ddot{x} + \beta\dot{x} + kx = f(t).$$

Example

Let  $f(t) = a \sin \mu t$ .

The general solution is of the form

$$x(t, C_1, C_2) = x_h + x_p = C_1x_1(t) + C_2x_2(t) + x_p(t)$$

where  $x_p(t)$  is of the form  $A \sin \mu t + B \cos \mu t$ , and the two solutions  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  both converge to 0 as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . For any  $C_1, C_2$  the solution  $x(t, C_1, C_2)$  asymptotically tends towards  $x_p(t)$ .