Mobile Sensing: Location Sensing

Master studies, Winter 2021/2022

Dr Veljko Pejović Veljko.Pejovic@fri.uni-lj.si



Location-Based Services

- Real-time navigation
- Geo-based alerts and emergency services
- Location-based recommendations
- Location-based online social networks
- Augmented reality and games



High-level inferences/predictions: habits, health



Location Determination

- Methods:
 - Global navigation satellite systems (GNSS)
 - Proximity detection
 - RF modelling
 - RF fingerprinting
- Tradeoff among:
 - System complexity
 - Cost
 - Energy consumption
 - Applicability
 - Accuracy



GNSS

• Trilateration:

- If we know the locations of
 A, B, and C, and a receiver's
 distance from each of the points,
 we can calculate the receiver's
 absolute position
- Knowing A, B, C's location
 - Satellites have well-specified orbits
- Knowing the distances to the receiver:
 - EM waves travel at 3*10⁸ m/s; measure the time the signal, sent from a satellite, took to reach the receiver





GNSS

- Satellites orbit around the Earth and trasmit signal that contains:
 - The satellite's almanach, from which the satellite's precise location can be found
 - The precise time the signal was sent
- Problem:
 - The receiver is not synchronized with the satellites!
- Solution:
 - The receiver adjusts its clock so that the calculated distances from four satellites intersect at one point



GNSS

In practice

solved with

Kalman

filtering

- GNSS implementations:
 - GPS (USA)
 - GLONASS (Russia)
 - Galileo (EU)
 - BeiDou (China)
- GNSS limitations:
 - Line of sight needed
 - Realtively high energy requirements
 - Relatively poor accuracy
 4 meter RMSE for GPS

Kalman Filter

- A method to calculate more accurate estimates from noisy measurements
- Widely applicable in positioning, navigation, control, computer vision (for tracking), sensor data processing in general
- Very simplified explanation:
 - Prediction step: produce estimates of the current state along with the uncertainty
 - Update step: when the next measurement is observed, the estimate is updated using a weighted average, with weights distributed according to the certainty of the estimate and the measurement



Kalman Filter – More Detailed Explanation



Proximity Detection

- Fixed-position anchors with Rx/Tx; moving targets with Tx/Rx
- The target is assigned the location of the strongest signal anchor
- Active Badge (1992)
 - Infra-red technology
 - Beacon from a mobile Tx every 15 seconds
 - Location at the room level
 - Sunlight impacts performance

University of Ljubljana Faculty of Computer and Information Science Want, R., Hopper, A., Falcao, V., & Gibbons, J. (1992). The active badge location system. ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS), 10(1), 91-102.



Proximity Detection

- Cricket (2000)
 - Idea: RF signal travels faster than sound
 - Fixed: RF and Ultrasound transmitters
 - Mobile: RF and Ultrasound receivers
 - When a mobile detects RF signal, it turns the sound receiver on, calculates the time difference of arrival
 - The mobile's location is set to the location of the fixed transmitter for which the shortest time difference between the RF and Ultrasound signal is observed
 - Drawback: Fitting the space and the mobile with extra Rx/Tx equipment



University of Ljubljana Faculty of Computer and Information Science Priyantha, N. B., Chakraborty, A., & Balakrishnan, H. The cricket location-support system. ACM MobiCom 2000

RF Modelling

- Wireless signal properties taken into account:
 - RF signal propagation speed
 - Received signal power falls of with distance
 - Free space path loss (FSPL)
 - Signal phase changes with distance
 - With multiple antennae you can infer the angle of arrival
- Models often fail to capture real-world behaviour





University of Ljubljana Faculty of Computer and Information Science

"Link-level measurements from an 802.11 b mesh network." ACM SIGCOMM 2004.

RF Fingerprinting

- RF propagation between a transmitter and a receiver might be difficult to model
- But at least it might not vary drastically in time
- Idea:
 - Record the RF signal properties at different locations
 - Compare the real-time recorded RF signal with the recordings and find the most similar one – this determines the user's location



RF Fingerprinting

- RADAR (2001)
 - Later improved to take the signal propagation models into account
 - Drawbacks:
 - Need to visit each of the possible locations in order to do the fingerprinting
 - Different WiFi card might report different results
 - Change in the infrastructure placement might impact the results





University of Ljubljana Faculty of Computer and Information Science

RADAR: An in-building RF-based user location and tracking system, INFOCOM 2000

Location Determination - Conclusions -

- Precise location determination is still an open problem
 - Result accuracy of RADAR: 50% within 2.5 m, 90% within 5.9 m
 - More accurate solutions require more diverse and expensive hardware
- Further opportunities:
 - Increasing density of RF signals, including 60 GHz short-range communication
 - Restrictions of the real world: cars (usually) travel on roads, pedestrians move with a limited speed, etc.



Location Determination - Conclusions -

- In (current) practice
 - More accurate location determination requires more hardware, calculation, energy
 - Think about the accuracy level that your application needs!
 - In Android:
 - android.permission.ACCESS_COARSE_LOCATION
 - PRIORITY_LOW_POWER for your LocationRequest
 - Indoor/custom localization can be implemented via trilateration
 - Android 9 and 10 support roundtrip time (RTT) querying from a mobile to a supported WiFi AP

https://developer.android.com/guide/topics/connectivity/wif i-rtt



Location Prediction - Applications -

- Cell tower association prediction for handover management in mobile networks
- Significant places recognition
- Smart home adaptation (e.g. MavHome project light, heating)
- Encounter prediction
- Routine deviation





Location Prediction - Approaches-

- What to predict?
 - Absolute location (latitude, longitude)
 - Semantic location (home, work, restaurant)
- How far in future to predict?
 - Next hour
 - Next place that a user will visit
 - Next year
- For whom to predict?
 - Individual
 - Group (e.g. encounter with OSN friends)



University of Ljubljana Faculty of Computer and Information Science Something in between (a particular restaurant, etc.)

- What is next location?
- Depends on your data:
 - GPS traces:
 - extract significant locations using clustering
 - DBSCAN algorithm
 - Access point (AP) associations:
 AP BSSID as location e.g. "at Huawei-01234"
 - Service-provided locations:
 - Foursquare gives you nice semantic location e.g. "at Kinodvor theatre"



- Dartmouth University dataset:
 - AP associations for 6,000 users over a few years on a college campus
 - Available (together with many other datasets) on https://crawdad.org
- Prediction problem:
 - Next AP association



University of Ljubljana Faculty of Computer and Information Science Song, L., Kotz, D., Jain, R., & He, X. (2006). Evaluating next-cell predictors with extensive Wi-Fi mobility data. IEEE transactions on mobile computing, 5(12), 1633-1649.

- Prediction method: Markov Chain
 - A stochastic model describing a sequence of possible events in which the probability of an event depends only on the previous event
 - Markov property

$$P(X_{n+1} = a \mid X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, ..., X_n = x_n) =$$

$$P(X_{n+1} = a \mid X_n = x_n)$$
Does next location depend only on the previous location?



- Higher order (k) Markov Chain
 - The probability of an event depends only on the last k previous events

$$P(X_{n+1} = a \mid X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, ..., X_n = x_n) =$$

$$P(X_{n+1} = a \mid X_{n-k+1} = x_{n-k+1}, X_{n-k+2} = x_{n-k+2}, ..., X_n = x_n)$$

– Estimate the above probability from the data trace:

$$P(X_{n+1} = a \mid X_{n-k+1} = x_{n-k+1}, X_{n-k+2} = x_{n-k+2}, \dots, X_n = x_n) = \frac{N(ca, L)}{N(c, L)}$$

N(c,L) - Num of times a sequence c appears in the data trace L

Evaluation

How much data do we need? A lot!

How far in the past do we need to look? Not far at all!



Information Science

- Foursquare dataset:
 - 1 million users
 - 35 million check-ins
 - 5 million venues
- Prediction problem:
 - Predict a list of N possible venues where the user will check in next
 - Limit the problem to a city (i.e. not trying to predict if a user is going to check in when travelling)



Mining User Mobility Features for Next Place Prediction in Location-based Services University of Ljubljana A. Noulas, S. Scellato, N. Lathia, C. Mascolo. In IEEE International Conference on Data Faculty of Computer and Information Science

 \hat{r}_k

- Prediction method: ranking scores r
 - t' current prediction time
 - $-C_{ii}$ user u's check-ins (loc, time)
 - k venue
 - Historical visits rank:
 - Category preferences:
 - z venue category
 - Popularity:
 - Popularity among friends: Γ_{ii} – u's friends

$$\hat{r}_{k}(u) = |\{(l,t) \in C_{u} : t < t' \land l = k\}|$$

$$\hat{r}_{k}(u) = |\{(l,t) \in C_{u} : t < t' \land z_{l} = z_{k}\}|$$

$$\hat{r}_{k}(U) = \sum_{u \in U} |\{(l,t) \in C_{u} : t < t' \land l = k\}|$$

$$\hat{r}_{k}(u) = \sum_{v \in \Gamma_{u}} |\{(l,t) \in C_{v} : t < t' \land l = k\}|$$

...also distance, temporal rankings, etc.



• Evaluation



...but, what if multiple factors impact one's decision to visit a venue?



- Prediction method: supervised learning from the venue/visit properties
 - Features:
 - popularity
 - distance
 - temporal activity score
 - Label: positive when visited, randomly sample other locations and assign negative labels to them
 - Classifiers:
 - Tree-based and Linear regression





- Dataset:
 - GPS traces of people and vehicles
 - 703 users
 - From 7 to 1247 days per user
- Prediction method: Motif Identification
 - Identify regularities in user's movements, model the evolution over time, and estimate future locations by projecting the patterns into the future
 - Assume that people's exhibit 24h-periodic behaviour, yet, that this can differ based on the day of the week, holidays



University of Ljubljana Faculty of Computer and Information Science Sadilek, Adam, and John Krumm. "Far out: Predicting long-term human mobility", AAAI 2012

- Represent data as vectors
 - Each day is a vector
 - lat, lon represent mean values in the given hour



 Alternatively, divide space into cells; fill with a probability that a user is in the cell at the given hour



- Now we have a matrix of vectorised days
- Perform Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to identify the essential behaviour
- PCA Eigenvectors represent characteristic days (eigendays)

What's PCA?





- Prediction:
 - Extract the observed features of the target, i.e. day of the week, whether it is a holiday or not and pack them into a vector
 - Project the above vector onto the PCA space to get a weight for each eigenday that captures how dominant that eigneday is, given the observed feature values



- Evaluation
 - Comparison with a method that predicts the most frequent location prev. visited with the given feature values
- 100 r 80 Average Accuracy [%] 60 40 Projected Eigendays, adjusted for drift Most Frequent Baseline, adjusted for drift 20 Random Baseline Pocket Loggers Paratransit Cars Shuttles n=301 n=97 n = 243

- Other uses
 - Find deviations from the routine if a new day deviates from the subspace formed by historical eigendays





Location Prediction - Conclusions -

- Both short-term and long-term predictions are very powerful enablers of new apps and services
 - Health care, security, smart cities, etc.
- Usually, different methods for long-term and shortterm mobility prediction
- For other methods, start with:
 - Pejovic, Veljko, and Mirco Musolesi. "Anticipatory mobile computing: A survey of the state of the art and research challenges." *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)* 47.3 (2015): 47.
- Remember: Data is the king!